



webserver@yucca-web.ymmp.gov on 11/30/2007 06:52:11 PM

To: EIS_Comments@ymmp.gov
cc:
Subject: EIS Comment

User Filed as: Not Categorized in ERMS

November 30, 2007 18:52:11

IP address: 72.193.54.122

~~~~~  
The Commentors Name:  
----> Ms. SUSAN SLACK

~~~~~  
The Commentors Address:
----> 548 VAN DORNUM AVENUE
----> HENDERSON, Nevada 89011

~~~~~  
Email Information:  
----> susanslack@hotmail.com  
----> Add commentor to the mailing list : yes

~~~~~  
Contact Information:
----> fax number :
----> phone number :
----> organization :
----> position :

~~~~~  
Comment Text :  
1 --> [The best solution to our nuclear waste problem is to stop manufacturing it right now and to containerize it as safely as possible, as close as possible to its generation site.]

[The EISs leave many concerns unaddressed:]....

- 2 - [The lack of emergency planning along the transportation routes.]
- 3 - [Terrorist threat.]
- 4 - [Yucca Mountain being an unsuitable geologic barrier. The proposed repository is an engineered barrier instead of a geologic barrier as required by law. Example: the drip shields to keep water from contacting the hot stored material.]
- 5 - [Design standards. Incredibly, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has no design standards for the Department of Energy (DOE) to build to]
- 6 - [Seismic activity]
- 7 - [Changes to the hydrology because of pumping from the regional deep carbonate aquifer]

- 8 - [Changes to the hydrology because of global warming.]
- 9 - [Health impacts short of latent cancer deaths. The EISs estimate the number of people who die but there is no data about the number of people who are sick.]
- 10 - [The TAD canisters. These newly proposed containers are unbuilt and untested.]
- 11 - [Effects of GNEP. Under the international treaty called the Global National Energy Partnership, the United States would accept high level nuclear waste for storage from partner countries. There are raw numbers to show increased toxic waste flowing to Yucca Mountain if GNEP is adopted, but there are no estimates of increased levels of impacts or the mitigation required because of those increases.]

Thank you for taking all of this into consideration.

~~~~~